WEST VIRGINIA CODE: §24A-2-2B

§24A-2-2b. Rule-making authority; establishing rates for recovering, towing, hauling, carrying, or storing wrecked or disabled vehicles; complaint process; and required Legislative Audit.

NOTE: West Virginia Code §24A-2-2b was amended by two bills passed during the 2021 Regular Session of the Legislature. When two acts of the Legislature amend the same section of the Code without express recognition in the bill of the action of the other bill, the Legislative Manager makes no determination as to the appropriate, legal effect of the two acts. Therefore, BOTH versions of this section are set out below.

The later of the two acts, House Bill 3130 (passed on April 10 2021), amended West Virginia Code §24A-2-2b to read as follows:

- (a) On or before July 1, 2016, the Commission shall promulgate rules to effectuate the provisions of this article.
- (b) The rules promulgated pursuant to the provisions of this section shall describe:
- (1) Factors determining the fair, effective, and reasonable rates levied by a carrier for recovering, towing, hauling, carrying, or storing a wrecked or disabled vehicle. The commission shall consider, but shall not be limited to:
- (A) Tow vehicle or vehicles and the special equipment required to complete recovery or tow;
- (B) Total time to complete the recovery or tow;
- (C) Number of regular and extra employees required to complete the recovery or tow;
- (D) Location of vehicle recovered or towed;
- (E) Materials or cargo involved in recovery or tow;
- (F) Comparison with reasonable prices in the region;
- (G) Weather conditions; and
- (H) Any other relevant information having a direct effect on the pricing of the recovery, towing, and storage of a recovered or towed vehicle;
- (2) The process for filing a complaint, and the review and investigation process to ensure it is fair, effective, and timely: *Provided*, That in any formal complaint against a carrier relating to a third-party tow, the burden of proof to show that the carrier's charges are just, fair, and reasonable is on the carrier;
- (3) The process for aggrieved parties to recover the cost, from the carrier, for the charge or May 4, 2024 Page 1 of 3 §24A-2-2B

charges levied by a carrier for recovering, towing, hauling, carrying, or storing a wrecked or disabled vehicle where the commission determines that such charge or charges are not otherwise just, fair, or reasonable; and

- (4) The process to review existing maximum statewide wrecker rates and special rates for the use of special equipment in towing and recovery work to ensure that rates are just, fair, and reasonable: *Provided*, That the commission shall generally disapprove hourly and flat rates for ancillary equipment.
- (c) All carriers regulated under this article shall list their approved rates, fares, and charges on every invoice provided to an owner, operator, or insurer of a wrecker or disabled motor vehicle.
- (d) The rules promulgated pursuant to this section shall sunset on July 1, 2023, unless reauthorized.
- (e) On or before December 31, 2022, the Legislative Auditor shall review the rules promulgated by the Public Service Commission under this section. The audit shall evaluate the rate-making policy for reasonableness, the complaint process for timeliness, the penalties for effectiveness, and any other metrics the Legislative Auditor deems appropriate. The Legislative Auditor may recommend that the rule be reauthorized, reauthorized with amendment, or repealed.

The earlier act, Senate Bill 655 (passed on April 9, 2021) amended West Virginia Code §24A-2-2b to read as follows:

- (a) On or before July 1, 2016, the commission shall promulgate rules to effectuate the provisions of this article.
- (b) The rules promulgated pursuant to the provisions of this section shall describe:
- (1) Factors determining the fair, effective, and reasonable rates levied by a carrier for recovering, towing, hauling, carrying, or storing a wrecked or disabled vehicle. The commission shall consider, but shall not be limited to:
- (A) Tow vehicles and the special equipment required to complete the recovery or tow;
- (B) Total time to complete the recovery or tow;
- (C) Number of regular and extra employees required to complete the recovery or tow;
- (D) Location of vehicle recovered or towed:
- (E) Materials or cargo involved in recovery or tow;
- (F) Comparison with reasonable prices in the region;

- (G) Weather conditions; and
- (H) Any other relevant information having a direct effect on the pricing of the recovery, towing, and storage of a recovered or towed vehicle;
- (2) The process for filing a complaint, the review and investigation process to ensure it is fair, effective, and timely: *Provided*, That in any formal complaint against a carrier relating to a third-party tow, the burden of proof to show that the carrier's charges are just, fair, and reasonable is on the carrier;
- (3) The process for aggrieved parties to recover the cost, from the carrier, for the charge or charges levied by a carrier for recovering, towing, hauling, carrying, or storing a wrecked or disabled vehicle where the commission determines that the charge or charges are not otherwise just, fair, or reasonable; and
- (4) The process to review existing maximum statewide wrecker rates and special rates for the use of special equipment in towing and recovery work to ensure that rates are just, fair, and reasonable: *Provided*, That the commission shall generally disapprove hourly and flat rates for ancillary equipment.
- (c) All carriers regulated under this article shall list their approved rates, fares, and charges on every invoice provided to an owner, operator, or insurer of a wrecker or disabled motor vehicle.
- (d) The rules promulgated pursuant to this article shall sunset on July 1, 2023, unless reauthorized.
- (e) On or before December 31, 2022, the Legislative Auditor shall review the rules promulgated by the Public Service Commission under this section. The audit shall evaluate the rate-making policy for reasonableness, the complaint process for timeliness, the penalties for effectiveness, and any other metrics the Legislative Auditor considers appropriate. The Legislative Auditor may recommend that the rule be reauthorized, reauthorized with amendment, or repealed.