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AN ACT to amend the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, by adding thereto a new article, designated §16-20-1, relating to prohibiting certain abortions; defining terms; prohibiting dismemberment abortions; deeming violations by physicians and other licensed medical practitioners to be a breach of the standard of care and outside the scope of practice that is permitted by law; providing an exception; allowing for discipline from the applicable licensure board for that conduct, including, but not limited to, loss of professional license to practice for violation; constituting violations for nonphysician and nonlicensed medical practitioners as unauthorized practice of medicine and subject to criminal penalties; preserving existing legal remedies for violations; clarifying that no penalty may be assessed against a patient; and providing for certain construction of this section.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

That the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, be amended by adding thereto a new article, designated §16-20-1, to read as follows:

ARTICLE 20. UNBORN CHILD PROTECTION FROM DISMEMBERMENT ABORTION ACT.


(a) Definitions. — For purposes of this section:

(1) “Abortion” means the same as that term is defined in section two, article two-f, chapter sixteen of this code.

(2) “Attempt to perform an abortion” means the same as that term is defined in section two, article two-m, chapter sixteen of this code.

(3) “Dismemberment abortion” means, with the purpose of causing the death of an unborn child, purposely to dismember a living unborn child and extract him or her one piece at a time from the uterus through use of clamps, grasping forceps, tongs, scissors or similar instruments that, through the convergence of two rigid levers, slice, crush or grasp a portion of the unborn
child's body to cut or rip it off. The term “dismemberment abortion” includes an abortion in which
a dismemberment abortion is performed to cause the death of an unborn child but suction is
subsequently used to extract fetal parts after the death of the unborn child. The term
“dismemberment abortion” does not include an abortion which uses suction to dismember the
body of the unborn child by sucking fetal parts into a collection container, an abortion following
fetal demise which uses a suction curette, suction curettage or forceps to dismember the body of
a dead unborn child, or when forceps are used following an induced fetal demise by other
means.

(4) “Medical emergency” means the same as that term is defined in section two, article
two-m, chapter sixteen of this code.

(5) “Physician” means the same as that term is defined in section two, article two-m,
chapter sixteen of this code.

(6) “Reasonable medical judgement” means the same as that term is defined in section
two, article two-M, chapter sixteen of this code.

(7) “Woman” means a female human being whether or not she has reached the age of
majority.

(b) Prohibition. —

No person may perform, or attempt to perform, a dismemberment abortion as defined in
this section, unless in reasonable medical judgment the woman has a condition that, on the basis
of reasonable medical judgment, so complicates her medical condition as to necessitate the
abortion of her pregnancy to avert her death or to avert serious risk of substantial and irreversible
physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or emotional
conditions. No condition may be deemed a medical emergency if based on a claim or diagnosis
that the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial
and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function.

(c) Enforcement. —
(1) Any physician or other licensed medical practitioner who intentionally or recklessly
performs or induces an abortion in violation of this article is considered to have acted outside the
scope of practice permitted by law or otherwise in breach of the standard of care owed to patients,
and is subject to discipline from the applicable licensure board for that conduct, including, but not
limited to, loss of professional license to practice.

(2) Any person, not subject to subdivision (1) of this subsection, who intentionally or
recklessly performs or induces an abortion in violation of this article is considered to have engaged
in the unauthorized practice of medicine in violation of section thirteen, article three, chapter thirty
of this code, and, upon conviction, subject to the penalties contained in that section.

(3) In addition to the penalties set forth in subdivisions (1) and (2) of this section, a patient
may seek any remedy otherwise available to such patient by applicable law.

(4) No penalty may be assessed against any patient upon whom an abortion is performed
or induced or attempted to be performed or induced.

(d) Miscellaneous Provisions. —

(1) This section does not prevent an abortion by any other method for any reason
including rape and incest.

(2) Nothing in this section may be construed as creating or recognizing a right to abortion,
or a right to a particular method of abortion.
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I, Stephen J. Harrison, Clerk of the House of Delegates, and as such Clerk, Keeper of the Rolls of the Legislature of West Virginia, hereby certify that the foregoing bill, Enrolled Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 10, disapproved by the Governor on the 9th Day of March, 2016, was subsequently repassed by the Legislature, notwithstanding the objections of the Governor, on the 10th day of March, 2016.

Stephen J. Harrison
Clerk of the House of Delegates
and Keeper of the Rolls of the Legislature
VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable William P. Cole III
President, West Virginia Senate
Room 229M, Building 1
State Capitol
Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Re: Enrolled Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 10

Dear President Cole:

Pursuant to the provisions of section fourteen, article VII of the Constitution of West Virginia, I hereby disapprove and return the Enrolled Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 10.

I am advised this bill is overbroad and unduly burdens a woman’s fundamental constitutional right to privacy. See Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 879 (1992) (holding a state “may not prohibit any woman from making the ultimate decision to terminate her pregnancy before viability”). Among the bill’s prohibitions is a leading pre-viability medical procedure (the “dilation & evacuation” method) that, for reasons of patient safety, is preferred by physicians. The Supreme Court of the United States and the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia previously struck down similarly overbroad laws that unduly burdened a woman’s right to choose this procedure. See Stenberg v. Carhart, 530 U.S. 914 (2000); Daniel v. Underwood, 102 F.Supp.2d 680 (S. D. W. Va. 2000) (declaring sections of WV’s Women’s Access to Health Care Act to be unconstitutional; ban at issue encompassed the common “dilation & evacuation” method and thus unduly burdened a woman’s constitutional right of privacy). In these circumstances, a veto is appropriate.

Since e r ly,

Earl Ray Tomblin
Governor
President Cole
March 9, 2016
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